IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
Notice of Motion No.1329 of 2004
In
Appeal No.445 of 2004
In
Notice of Motion No.359 of 2001
In
Suit No.1144 of 2000

Union of India. .. Appellant

Vs.

Suresh Jayantilal Thanawala
& Ors. .. Respondents

--

Shri S.R.Rajguru with Ms Nisha Valani for the appellant.

Shri Brijesh Upadhyay i/b Purnanand & Co. for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

--

CORAM : R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR &
D.G.KARNIK, JJ

DATED : 12TH JUNE, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocates for the appellant and the respondent Nos.1 and 2. None present for the remaining respondents, though served.

2. Considering the facts disclosed in the affidavit in support of the notice of motion, sufficient cause being shown for condonation of delay

of 87 days in filing the present appeal, the same is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The notice of motion stands disposed of, with no order as to costs.

(R.M.S.KHANDEPARKAR, J)

(D.G.KARNIK, J)